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A B S T R A C T

In this work, we study the gasification of pellets produced, after densification, by blending olive mill solid wastes, impregnated or not by olive mill waste water, and pine sawdust under different steam/nitrogen atmospheres. The charcoals necessary for the gasification tests were prepared by pyrolysis using a fixed bed reactor. The gasification technique using steam was chosen in order to produce a hydrogen-enriched syngas. Gasification tests were performed using macro-thermogravimetric equipment. Tests were carried out at different temperatures (750 °C, 800 °C, 820 °C, 850 °C and 900 °C), and at different atmospheres composed by nitrogen and steam at different percentages (10%, 20% and 30%). Results show that the mass variation profiles is similar to the usual lingo-cellulosic gasification process. Moreover, the increase of temperatures or water steam partial pressures affects positively the rate of conversion and the char reactivity by accelerating the gasification process. The increase of the gasification yields demonstrates the promise of using olive mill by-products as alternative biofuels (H₂ enriched syngas).

Introduction

Energy supply represents a major challenge facing our planet today. In particular, the transition from fossil resources into sustainable and renewable ones becomes is becoming a pressing issue [1–4]. This transition is justified by greenhouse gas and other pollutants emissions which affect seriously human health and climate change [5]. For this reason biomass feedstocks have emerged in last decade as a promising renewable energy source and, thereby, received a particular attention due to their high availability worldwide [6]. Biomass can be utilized through three routes: physicochemical (e.g. the production of vegetable oils from seeds of oil plants using two/three phases trituration), biochemical (e.g. fermentation and hydrolysis of sugar plants, anaerobic digestion of wet biomass, etc.) and thermochemical (e.g. torrefaction, pyrolysis, combustion and gasification) [7,8]. Pyrolysis and gasification processes have emerged as suitable paths for producing alternative solid, liquid and gaseous biofuels. Especially, alternative gaseous biofuels, called syngas, can be obtained through biomass gasification with steam and/or carbon dioxide [9–12]. This trend was accelerated by huge demands on hydrogen and
syngas in new industrial applications. In fact, syngas has proved to be a promising route in chemical, oil and energy due to its wide applications. This gas can be used as a feedstock for the production of hydrogen, ammonia, methanol and Fischer-Tropsch products [13]. Moreover, it can be considered as a fuel for gas turbine plants used for electricity generation [14], or as a fuel cell for mobile sources (e.g. cars) [15]. It can also play the role of an electricity supplier through solid oxide fuel cells [13]. Finally it can be exploited as a primary fuel [16].

All this richness of the syngas comes from its composition. Indeed, recent investigations on biomass show that syngas includes $\text{H}_2$, $\text{CO}$, $\text{CO}_2$, $\text{CH}_4$, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in different proportions depending on the biomass, and also on the conditions in which the syngas is produced, such as temperature, pressure, residence time and the gasifier agent [17–19]. $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{CO}$ are the major components of interest here since they could be converted to alternative synthetic biofuel through various processes such as the Fischer-Tropsch reaction [20]. Moreover, the combustion of the syngas is relatively clean by comparison to fossil fuels. Hence, this combustion is presents many advantages such as the decrease of particulate matter (PMs) which can be deposed easily on human airways causing serious respiratory problems as is was modelled and simulated in Ref. [21]. Several investigations have studied the char gasification under different atmospheres [22–25]. Also, it was reported in the literature that the char textural properties are clearly correlated to gasification rate [26]. Moreover, it was reported that the calorific value of the produced gas depends on the gasifier agent. Indeed, it is well known that air gasification produces a poor quality of syngas in terms of heating value (a higher heating value, HHV, of around $4–7$ $\text{MJ}/\text{Nm}^3$); whereas, using pure oxygen or water steam as gasifier agent leads to a higher quality of syngas (around $10–18$ $\text{MJ}/\text{Nm}^3$, HHV) [5,14,27,28].

In this study, the charcoal was prepared using slow pyrolysis under an inert atmosphere in a horizontal fixed bed furnace. After that, we carried out gasification tests using a macro-TG at various atmospheres composed of a mixture of $\text{N}_2$ and water steam with a given partial pressure and under a given isothermal temperature. We focused on the effect of the partial pressure variation and temperature variation on the conversion; the rate of conversion and the char reactivity respectively.

**Experimental device**

**Samples and preparation**

The olive mill wastes used in this work were collected from the Zouila oil Press Company situated in Mahdia in Tunisia, while, the pine sawdust was provided from a wood factory situated in Tunisia. Samples were prepared as it was reported in our previous work [29]. First we carry out a densification of the raw materials (olive mill solid waste (OMSW) with and without impregnation by olive mill wastewater (OMWW), and with and without mixing with pine sawdust (PS)) to obtain the pellets. Hence, 4 samples types were prepared:

- PS100: composed of 100% pine sawdust.
- PS-OMWW: composed of 50% pine sawdust and 50% olive mill wastewater.
- EOMSW-OMWW: composed of 50% exhausted olive mill solid waste and 50% olive mill wastewater.
- EOMSW100: composed of 100% olive mill solid waste.

In order to study the influence of particle sizing during gasification, the charcoals obtained after the slow pyrolysis process were crushed and then sieved for obtaining a powder composed by particles of less than 100 $\mu$m size.

**Samples characterization**

The moisture content of the different samples was determined using a stove at $105^\circ\text{C}$ during a period of 24 h according to the EN 14774 standard, and by using a scale precisa XT220A for weighing the mass of sample before and after moisture evaporation. The ash content was determined using a muffle furnace in which the temperature is fixed at $900^\circ\text{C}$ during 1.5 h according to the DIN 51719 standard.

The high heating value (HHV) was determined using a calorimetric bomb calorimeter IKAC200 according to the EN 14918 standard. Then, the low heating value (LHV) is deduced from the HHV according to:

$$LHV = HHV - L_v \left( \frac{9.1}{100} \times \left( 1 - \frac{\% Hu}{100} \right) + \frac{\% Hu}{100} \right)$$

Both LHV and HHV are expressed in ($\text{MJ.kg}^{-1}$), $L_v$ is the latent heat of vaporization (approximately 2502 ($\text{kJ.kg}^{-1}$)), $\% H$ is the hydrogen percentage and $\% Hu$ is the moisture ratio.

The bulk densities of the different samples were also determined by calculating the ratio of the mass and the volume of the container conormingly to the CENTS15103 standard method. The energy density is a crucial parameter characterizing the solid biofuels. It is obtained by multiplying the LHV and the bulk density [29].

The mineral analysis shows that the potassium (K), the calcium (Ca) and the sodium (Na) are the main elements present in the different prepared samples.

**Experimental device**

Gasification, as an intricate process, makes impossible to control and optimize its several overlapping steps separately. Hence, to improve the gasification efficiency and the char transformation rate, gasification should be performed in the absence of the volatiles [30]. For this reason, a preliminary slow pyrolysis process was investigated under inert atmospheres using a horizontal fixed bed reactor in order to produce the char. Then, the gasification tests of prepared samples (pellets and powders) were assessed in a Macro-thermovirometric (M-TG) reactor which was previously described with precision [4]. Indeed, the M-TG device is mainly formed by three parts: (1) the heating system composed by a liquid water evaporator, a gas pre-heater and an alumina cylindrical reactor heated electrically, (2) a gas flow control system allowing gas mixture for varying the gasification atmosphere composition, (3) a weighing system including an isothermal temperature. We focused on the effect of the partial pressure
reaction temperature. The metallic stand placed over the electronic scale is equipped with three ceramic hollow tubes with 1 m length and 2.4 mm external diameter. These tubes end with a platinum basket of 50 mm diameter, a solid bottom and a side wall made from a 0.5 mm grid in order to allow the gas to pass through it. The totality of the weighing system was rejected in order to avoid any turbulent regime and the nitrogen volume flow rate was fixed at 6 NL/min. The mass loss was recorded using a MSE524S scale and an in-house Lab-View code. The related data to the sample entrance in the furnace were rejected in order to avoid the buoyancy forces’ effect via a preliminary blank test. For achieving our study, some parameters characterizing the gasification process were defined:

- The characteristic time of gasification \( \tau_c \) corresponding to the needed time to reach 97% of char conversion according to the following expression:

\[
\tau_c = t_{X=97} - t_{X=0}
\]  

- The char reactivity which represents the rate of char consumption divided by an extensive property, namely the char mass. This parameter is expressed by:

\[
R_c = \frac{1}{(1 - X_c)} \times \frac{dX_c}{dt}
\]

where, \( X \) is the conversion of char during the gasification:

\[
X_c = \frac{(m_0 - m_t)}{(m_0 - m_{ash})}
\]

\( m_0, m_t \) and \( m_{ash} \) are the initial mass of char, the mass at a time \( t \) and the mass of the residual ash respectively.

- The rate of conversion defined as:

\[
v_c = \frac{dX_c}{dt}
\]

**Results and discussions**

**Samples characterization**

The energy contents, the ultimate and the proximate analyses of the different samples are summarized in Table 1. A Comparison with other available biomasses reported in the literature is carried out. This comparison shows that the prepared samples follow typical compositions [1,2]. Indeed, we notice that the OW is characterised by its relatively high ash content (5.20%); whereas, the PS ash content is relatively small (<0.30%). Moreover, the prepared pellets generate a high percentage of volatiles. More precisely, the PS pellets are richer of volatiles than the OW pellets (73.95% for OW versus 84.20% for PS). Finally, both OW and PS samples present considerable contents of fixed carbon; 17.62% and 15.40% respectively.

Consigned results in Table 1 show that the OW pellets have more carbon content than the PS pellets. However, the oxygen content of the PS pellets is higher than that of the OW pellets. The nitrogen content is significant only for OW pellets; while, the sulphur contents can be neglected for both pellets types. Hence, a non-negligible amount of NO\(_x\) (NO + NO\(_2\)) can be expected during the combustion of the OW pellets. In contrast, given the very small sulphur contents (<0.1) SO\(_x\) emissions are expected to be insignificant [29].

Table 2 shows that inorganic elements (Na, K and Ca) are highly concentrated in the EOMSW, EOMSW-OMWW and PS-OMWW samples. This elevated concentration is not only due to the initial amount of Na, K and Ca in raw material EOMSW but also due to impregnation process when using OMWW [rich of these minerals] [30,31]. It is worth noting that these higher inorganic contents are very interesting of point of view catalytic effect during gasification process [31–33].

**Effects of the variation of the H\(_2\)O partial pressure and the temperature on the conversion**

The gasification is a thermochemical conversion process occurring at relatively elevated temperature, up to 1400 °C in some cases [32–34]. Materials which are subject to this process are solid carbonaceous fuels such as coal and biomasses in the presence of a gasifier agent such as air, oxygen, steam and/or carbon dioxide. This gasifier agent is injected in small concentrations with an inert gas such as nitrogen (N\(_2\)). The gasification yields consist of a biogas, tars (liquids from condensable vapours) and remaining biochar (solid). The main product of gasification is a mixture of gases, called syngas which is mainly composed of CO, H\(_2\), CO\(_2\), CH\(_4\) and volatile organic compounds C\(_n\)H\(_m\) and tars.

After studying the gasification of the 4 different samples at different temperatures and for different percentages of steam, results show that the conversion curves \( X = f(t) \) follow the usual behaviour during the thermal degradation of lingo-cellulosic materials as it is illustrated on Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Moreover, we found that, when increasing the partial pressure

---

**Table 1 – Proximate analysis and ultimate analysis for the tested samples.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>%Hu (W.b.)</th>
<th>%VM (d.b.)</th>
<th>%FC (d.b.)</th>
<th>%Ash (d.b.)</th>
<th>LHV</th>
<th>BD</th>
<th>ED</th>
<th>Ultimate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OW100</td>
<td>38.34</td>
<td>73.95</td>
<td>17.62</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>17.69</td>
<td>49.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS100</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>84.20</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>47.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BD: The bulk density (kg.m\(^{-3}\)); ED: The energy density (GJ.m\(^{-3}\)); d.b.: on dry basis; w.b.: on wet basis.
of the steam, the reaction rate increases as shown in Fig. 1 in agreement with what was reported in the literature [4,35]. Moreover, Fig. 2 shows the char conversion evolution as a function of elapsed time at 20% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{v} and for five different temperatures: 750 °C, 800 °C, 820 °C, 850 °C and 900 °C respectively. It can be seen that an increase in temperature from 750 to 900 °C yields an increase in the rate of char conversion and consequently to a decrease of the characteristic time of gasification [4]. More precisely, in Fig. 1 a conversion level of 90% was reached respectively after 90, 130 and 150 s with steam concentrations of 20%, 15% and 10% respectively. These values of the characteristic time of gasification are different to values obtained by Guizani et al. [4] which are higher (330 s and 580 s for 20% and 10% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{v} respectively). This discrepancy is expected since the chars are different (beech wood chips for Guizani et al. [4]) and also the techniques with which the char were prepared were different (high-heating rate chars for them and low-heating rate for us).

### Influence of the samples types on the rate of conversion and the char reactivity

The rate of conversion \( \frac{dX}{dt} \) is a gasification characteristic which is always considered as a preliminary indicator of the material reactivity. Fig. 3 shows the conversion rate \( \frac{dX}{dt} \), as functions of \( X \) for different samples. The results show that rates of conversion evolving as function of the conversion (X) depend strongly on the samples type. Indeed, we observe that at 900 °C and at 20% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{v} conditions, the EOMSW100 exhibits a greater reactivity than PS100. Moreover, the addition of the OMWW for each sample enhances its reactivity, perhaps due to its richness in inorganic matter (K, Ca and Na) [36]. Thus, the maximum rate of mass loss corresponds to EOMSW-OMWW; while the lowest value is attributed to 100PS (Figs. 3, and 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>EOMSW</th>
<th>EOMSW-OMWW</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PS-OMWW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 – Concentration of inorganic elements (g/kg d.b. basis).

**Fig. 1** – Influence of the H\textsubscript{2}O partial pressure on the temporal variation of the conversion of PS-OMWW100 under 850 °C.

**Fig. 2** – Influence of the variation of the temperature on the temporal variation of the conversion of EOMSW-OMWW under 20% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{v}.

**Fig. 3** – Rate of Conversion evolution as a function of the conversion of the different samples at 900 °C and under 20% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{v}.

**Fig. 4** – The char reactivity variation as a function of the conversion of the different samples realized at 900 °C and under 20% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{v}.

Influence of the variation of the steam water partial pressure and the temperature on the rate of conversion and the char reactivity

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show respectively, the influence of the partial pressure of the water steam on the rate of conversion and also, on the char reactivity as a function of the conversion (X) at 850 °C for the PS-OMWW sample. We observed that the char reactivity increases with a higher percentage of steam from 10 to 20% in agreement with results stated by Guizani et al. [37].

Moreover, we notice that each curve presents two stages: in the left side zone corresponding to X < 0.5 the rate of conversion increases slightly; whereas, in the right side zone for X > 0.5 the rate of conversion presents a quite decrease. This result is may be due to the kinetic of the reactions which is affected not only by the nature of the gasifier agent and its partial pressure, but also, by the heat flux interaction between the gas flow and the sample, and perhaps by the degree level of the conversion (X). These observations are consolidated by Fig. 6, but in this case, the char gasification reactivity is in a continuous increase. However, as we can see, the rate of conversion and the char gasification reactivity become quite higher for 10% water steam than for 15% water steam and this for X > 0.6.

Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 show the evolution of the rate of conversion and the char gasification reactivity as a function of X, and for 5 fixed temperatures (750, 800, 820, 850 and 900 °C). We conclude that the samples become more reactive with higher temperature in agreement with what was reported in the literature [37,38].

Influence of the samples’ properties on the gasification reactivity

Table 3 shows the characteristic times of gasification and rates during the gasification stage for the four samples when working at the same temperature 850 °C and under the same steam percentage 20%. The characteristic time $t_C$ corresponds to the time needed to reach 97% of char conversion is calculated using the following expression:

$$t_C = t_{X=0.97} - t_{X=0.0}$$

(6)

Moreover, Fig. 9 displays the influence of the samples’ types on the gasification reactivity as a function of elapsed time.
The conversion function $X$, and more precisely in the region presenting the highest gradient of variation, is usually considered as a preliminary indicator of the material reactivity. At $850\,^\circ C$, the lowest rate of conversion is exhibited by the PS100 sample as it is illustrated in Fig. 9 and confirmed in Table 3 (the characteristic time is approximately $4.92 \times 10^{-3}\,s^{-1}$), while the highest one is obtained by EOMSW-OMWW powder (approximately $9.80 \times 10^{-3}\,s^{-1}$). However, we notice that these values are higher than those reported in the literature under the same experimental conditions [39]. Indeed, in agreement with many published results in the literature, the blended and impregnated samples experience the highest gasification rates thanks to the mineral contents supplied by the OMWW as it is shown in Table 2 [4,11,26,32,39–41].

### Influence of temperature and steam molar fraction on the characteristic time and gasification rate of EOMSW-OMWW

The gasification of the char of EOMSW-OMWW under the powder state (particles sizes are less than 100 $\mu$m) was studied at three different temperatures; $800\,^\circ C$, $820\,^\circ C$ and $850\,^\circ C$, and under different steam molar fraction; 10%, 15% and 20% respectively. Table 4 summarizes the characteristic gasification times and the gasification rates for the different temperatures and steam percentages.

We observe in Table 4 that the characteristic times of the EOMSW-OMWW gasification are 202 s, 195 s, 115 s at $800\,^\circ C$, $820\,^\circ C$, and $850\,^\circ C$ respectively when the steam percentage is 10%. Therefore, as indicated earlier, the reactivity increases with an increased temperature. We also note that the steam partial pressures affect positively the samples’ reactivity.

Indeed, at $800\,^\circ C$ the characteristic times are 202 s, 182 s, 172 s at 10%, 15% and 20% respectively. Note that under similar experimental conditions, Guizani et al. [10] show that for a conversion ($X$) of 90%, and at a temperature of $900\,^\circ C$, the gasification rate of beech wood chips is approximately $15 \times 10^{-3}\,s^{-1}$. However, our obtained values are lower than those found by Guizani et al. [10], but higher than those reported by Lajili et al. [39].

The average gasification rate is defined as the mean gasification rate. More precisely it is calculated as the average

---

**Table 3** – Determination of the time characteristic and the rate of gasification of the 4 samples, at the same temperature $850\,^\circ C$ and the same water steam percentage 20%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>EOMSW</th>
<th>EOMSW-OMWW</th>
<th>PS-OMWW</th>
<th>PS100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_G$ (s)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_G \times 10^{-3},s^{-1}$</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** – The characteristic time and the gasification rate of the EOMSW-OMWW at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>$H_2O$ percentage</th>
<th>$\tau_G$ (s)</th>
<th>$y_G \times 10^{-3},s^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$800,^\circ C$</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>5.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>5.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$820,^\circ C$</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$850,^\circ C$</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>8.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>9.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 9** – Comparison between the temporal evolutions of the conversion of the four samples under 20% water steam composition and at $850\,^\circ C$.

**Fig. 10** – Evolution of the average gasification rate of EOMSW-OMWW as a function of the temperature.

**Fig. 11** – Evolution of the average gasification rate of EOMSW-OMWW as a function of the steam partial pressure.
value of the conversion rate through the char conversion range (X = 0% - X = 95%). Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 show the average gasification rate evolution as a function of temperature and steam molar fraction respectively.

Fig. 10 shows that the average gasification rate increases from $4.80 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ to $6.43 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ for a steam fraction of 10% when we increase the temperature from 800 °C to 850 °C. At 850 °C and 10% H$_2$O, our values are about twice higher than those performed by Nilsson et al. [42]. Fig. 11 shows that the mean gasification rate increases from $4.80 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ to $5.64 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ at 800 °C when we increase the steam molar fraction from 10% to 20%. However, according to Lajili et al. [39], the average gasification rate is four times lower than what we find in this work, while the characteristic time of gasification is much higher (755 s at 850 °C and 20% H$_2$O for the pellets of impregnated exhausted olive mill solid waste). We can conclude that the powder form enhances the sample's gasification reactivity.

**Comparison between the pellets and the powder during gasification**

In this section, we focus on the comparison of the gasification of the powder samples and the pellets samples when they are treated under the same experimental conditions of 20% steam molar fraction and 850 °C.

Table 5 shows the characteristic times and the gasification rates for the different samples in powder and pellet states respectively.

We can see that for all samples, the characteristic time of gasification is shorter and the gasification rate is higher for powders than for pellets. Also, the rate of gasification is higher for powders than for pellets. Indeed, as it was stated by S. Luo et al. [43], this effect can be explained by the increase of the surface area of the small particles interacting with the gasifier agent (steam) and by the formation of volatile products that leave the sample without undergoing secondary cracking reactions. At the contrary, for the larger particles, this phenomenon could be dominant, leading to a more char and tar formation. Hence, mass and heat limitations are more significant for larger particles. In our case these physical phenomena are more accentuated due the big difference in size between pellets and powder. Moreover, it was reported by Inayat et al. [44] that smaller particle size of blended biomass prepared from wood chips (acacia mangium) and coconut shells (Cocos nucifera L) leads to higher hydrogen, carbon monoxide and methane concentrations in syngas. High peak concentrations were clearly observed with the 5–10 mm particles; whereas the concentrations decrease with the big particle size (25–50 mm). Besides, Y. Feng et al. [45] have studied the influence of particle size and temperature on gasification performance. They concluded that when increasing the particle size from 0.125 to 0.250 mm, the CO and H$_2$ concentrations were decreased, while the contents of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ were increased. This result can be explained by the fact that when the particle size decreased, the reactions are mainly controlled by pyrolysis and gasification, whereas when the particle size increased the reactions are mainly governed by the diffusion process. Consequently, the size of particle influences seriously the gas diffusion speed. Finally, the smaller particle size is expected to be more advantageous to produce high quality gas. Moreover, we observe that for the powder form the highest rate of gasification is exhibited by EOMSW-OMWW (9.80 $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) with a characteristic time of 99 s followed by EOMSW100 (9.42 $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$), PS-OMWW (7.89 $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) and PS100 (4.92 $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) respectively. Such behaviour can be attributed to the richness of the impregnated samples in inorganic matter provided by the OMWW, and especially in potassium (K) which is known by its high catalytic effect [4,39]. Indeed, the Schmidt’s team, when working on the effects of biomass inorganics using rhodium as catalyst, concluded that the role of the sodium and potassium, which are the main inorganic compounds in our case, was to decrease the methane conversion by 9% and 16% respectively. Furthermore, the potassium decreased the H$_2$ and CO selectivity by 5% and 7% respectively [46].

In contrast, we also observe that the PS-OMWW pellet presents the highest gasification rate followed by EOMSW-OMWW and EOMSW100 respectively. Accordingly, the characteristic time for pellets exhibits the opposite trend to that of the gasification rate i.e. it decreases from EOMSW100 to EOMSW-OMWW and then to PS-OMWW. These observations are in accordance with recent results reported by Lajili et al. [39]. In addition to the catalytic effect due the impregnation process, the high reactivity of the powder, by comparison to the pellets, during gasification also can be related to the low mass the high surface exchange and to the heat transfer limitation. Measured kinetics may be considered as intrinsic kinetics. Therefore, the powder form strengthens the samples’ reactivity.

**Conclusion**

Slow pyrolysis experiments were carried out in order to prepare 4 chars from Tunisian (OMSW, OMWW and PS) biomasses. These chars were crushed and sieved in powder form in order to obtain gasification tests under different isothermal temperatures and steam molar fractions mixed with nitrogen (N$_2$).

The results show that the conversion, the rate of conversion and the char reactivity are enhanced by the steam partial pressure for a given temperature. Moreover, we found that the

| Table 5 – The characteristic times and the gasification rates of the different samples in powder and pellet states at 850 °C and under 20% water steam molar fraction. |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Powder form                      |           |           |           |
|                                  | EOMSW100  | EOMSW-OMWW | PS-OMWW  |
| $\tau_1$(s)                     | 103       | 99        | 123       |
| $\tau_3(10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$)      | 9.42      | 9.80      | 7.89      |
| Pellets form                     |           |           |           |
|                                  | EOMSW100  | EOMSW-OMWW | PS-OMWW  |
| $\tau_G$(s)                     | 830       | 755       | 666       |
| $\tau_3(10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$)      | 1.21      | 1.33      | 1.50      |
same three gasification variables increase with temperature for a given steam partial pressure conditions. Moreover, the EOMSW100 sample exhibits more reactivity than the PS100. However, the most important result concerns the EOMWW impregnation. More precisely, the catalytic effect of some minerals such as K, Ca and Na play an important role during the gasification process. We also observe that for the same samples, when they are treated in powder state, the conversion rate and the char reactivity are enhanced (the characteristic time of gasification become shorter) by comparison with the pellet form. The results of this study provide a clear motivation to further investigate the use of OMWW as a source for renewable energy and to mitigate potential environmental problems associated with their storage of disposal.
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